

ESMB enters archive mode

NaN: aN : aN  
Day(s) Hour(s) Minute(s)

ESMB is now closed to new registrations. Please go to [www.exscn2.net](http://www.exscn2.net) and register there.


# Mike "I'm All About the Crimes" Rinder

Discussion in 'Office of Special Affairs' started by Alanzo, Sep 5, 2019.

Tags: [mike rinder](#)

View Users: [View Users](#)

Page 29 of 29 < Prev 1 ← 24 25 26 27 28 29



**Caroline**  
Patron Meritorious

He-man said: ↑

Seems implausible to me that Mike Rinder et al would be a ploy, due to the negative exposure, with its coherent risks for the Church of Scientology, that they have generated.

Seems to me that it's the same as most leaving the "church", ie Debbie Cook, Aaron Saxton, Marty Rathburn comes to mind, He wants to get out of it without getting caught in the [net himself](#).

Implausibility can be an effective cloaking device. What's under the cloak is the co-conspirators history of infiltrating government agencies, covering up crimes, obstructing justice in wrongful death case(s), witness tampering, running intell around the world, taking over CAN, LMT, etc.

The Scientologists' history of risky business proves that risk doesn't back them off from executing such schemes. "Flair" and "insouciance" are their intelligence personnel's "beingnesses."

Think of the risk that the Child Victims Act poses, and how the Scientologists would want to get ahead of that for example. Would they be any less insouciant?

More than four hundred Scientologists on the Sea Org Flag Ship were able to maintain the shore story or lie in multiple countries that they were all a Panamanian business management company. The GO came very close to getting away with a massive espionage operation involving hundreds of people against the US Government. Chris Owen mentioned this today.

Today Chris Owen said:

The GO's campaign has been described as the largest episode of domestic espionage in the history of the US government. Yet, remarkably, declassified government documents and seized GO files show that the government knew of the campaign as far back as 1973 but did nothing about it. Equally remarkably, they also show that the GO might have got away with it even after its operatives had been caught by the FBI in 1976, had it not been for an entirely coincidental intervention by US Customs at a critical moment.

<https://tonyortega.org/2019/09/25/h...y-got-away-with-its-1970s-espionage-campaign/>

Hubbard said "any attention is better than no attention." (Ref. *COHA*, for example) Negative exposure is easily converted in the public eye, and the Scientologists have the means and motive to convert it, on their own time and using Hubbard's playbook. Rinder says he's now wanting to change the public perception about Scientology. That purpose aligns with what I'm talking about.

According to current marketing psychology, even the repetitive use of video footage of Hubbard's dirty teeth and unflattering appearance throughout the series would have purpose. (Ref. *The Psychology of Influence: Theory, Research and Practice* by Joop Van Der Pligt and Michael Vliek)

There are fairly glaring parallels between the [original Loyalist Op](#), and the current group of people who identify as "Independents." Here's an open letter I wrote to Amy Scobee and the "Loyalist Think Tankers":

On August 7 2009 I said:



Dear Amy,


In writing you, I am assuming that you are, as Scientology outed you, the "Peacock" in Freedom. I want to thank you then for talking to the Saint Petersburg Times about your experiences and for speaking out against the violence at Int.

Long term traumatization in that environment, which is so devoid of reason but so dominated by fear, is a phenomenon that is coming into society's consciousness, so thanks also to you and the other ex-staff for adding to that knowledge. Your experience is painful, my heart goes out to you, and I wish you a full, fun and fast recovery. [Click to expand](#) that the end is near for Missions's twer...

Caroline, Yesterday at 10:38 PM

#561

 Winner x 2  Like x 1 [List](#)



Dave95694  
Silly Human

Out Ethics said: ↑

Dave - did you read what Roger B had to say about Alanzo / Allen T Stanfield? He knows who Alanzo is. Do you?

"Actually, I had to run for cover the instant I spotted I was getting sucked into the vortex of Alanzo spinning around inside his head going nowhere but down baby, down . . . . Man, that was a trip to nowhere!

## You are right Hoaxy, it is an exercise in masochism to be following that

I've known Alanzo/Allen for years.

While RogerB is a great source for stories about the old days, he is also known for judging people for emotional reasons rather than logical. If he can't follow Alanzos reasoning, perhaps it is because he spent too much time in the vortex of another failed cult after his scientology experiences. I suspect he is still stuck in the Kn mindset, which was a sort of elitist version of scientology catering to corporate mucks and muckity mucks.

It followed the scientology trajectory from boom to irrelevance, and is now just a few members. It was never the size of scientology although its founder, Alan Walter, was the person who built up scientology in the US to the size it was, until Hubbard betrayed him. I think he was the biggest mission holder on the planet at one time.

They were all about getting/being prosperous and called their body thetans "spiritual team mates". (And used them to try to get rich!)

They believe anything "unwanted" is external abuse. Nice logical sounding "logic", but it doesn't scale well.

Here's Rogers website: <http://knowledgism-practice-group.org>

And Knowledgisms,

<https://knowledgism.com/>

*"If you want to increase your prosperity or income, eradicate your unknowns and increase your knowledge." Alan C Walter, 10 Jan 1997*

You have read all of Alan Walter's stuff here I hope? Or are you just doing a poor imitation of an uninformed troll.

Dave95694, Yesterday at 10:43 PM

#562



### Out Ethics

Out Ethics Ex Ethics Officer

Dave95694 said: ↑

I've known Alanzo/Allen for years.

While RogerB is a great source for stories about the old days, he is also known for judging people for emotional reasons rather than logical. If he can't follow Alanzos reasoning, perhaps it is because he spent too much time in the vortex of another failed cult after his scientology experiences. I suspect he is still stuck in the Kn mindset, which was a sort of elitist version of scientology catering to corporate mucks and muckity mucks.

It followed the scientology trajectory from boom to irrelevance, and is now just a few members. It

Dave - did you file bankruptcy too? Typically we find that criminal minds think alike.

Alanzo / Allen T Stanfield is an OSA operative that is on the payroll because he can't create his own money and does not honor his word. He does not pay people back. You seem to like him so I was wondering if you too, don't pay creditors back when you borrow money?

It is a sign of integrity to pay back your debt.

Allen T Stanfield does not have any integrity. He isn't even working a honest job. He works for OSA.

His total earnings on his bankruptcy papers, Allen made less than \$30,000.

His assets - \$95,000. That is not very much Allen. Marty Rathbun purchased a \$300,000 home within a month of getting on OSA's payroll.

What does the Stanfield Agency do? Do you know Dave?

Now, being broke does qualify him for the OSA payroll. Don't be GLIB, Dave. Allen is OSA.

Last edited: Yesterday at 10:52 PM

Out Ethics, Yesterday at 10:46 PM

#563



Churchill

Gold Meritorious Patron

Stupid me.  
I thought Allen was just *morally bankrupt*.

Churchill, Yesterday at 11:00 PM

#564

💰 Winner x 4 🤔 LOL x 1 List



Out Ethics

Out Ethics Ex Ethics Officer

Allen T Stanfield: "All that hard work on Alanzo's Blog and all I got was a 04 Ford Ranger and a bankruptcy"

Well, Allen, don't take it too hard. Let's look at the bright side. Emma let you back here so you could have a few terminals to spar with.

Really Allen, WTF? A 04 Ford Ranger? Where did you work before OSA? McDonald's making fries?

Out Ethics, Yesterday at 11:01 PM

#565

🤔 LOL x 3 List

Churchill said: ↑

Stupid me.  
I thought Allen was just *morally bankrupt*.



**Out Ethics**

Out Ethics Ex Ethics Officer

Churchhill - it goes hand in hand.

Poor Allen T Stanfield has not a pot to piss in.

Maybe the OSA pay checks will help him out of his hell hole on Arlene Drive in Bloomington and he can up grade to an 05 Ford Ranger with less miles and a back seat.

Out Ethics, Yesterday at 11:03 PM

#566



**Dave95694**

Silly Human

Out Ethics said: ↑

**Allen T Stanfield: "All that hard work on Alanzo's Blog and all I got was a 04 Ford Ranger and a bankruptcy"**

Well, Allen, don't take it too hard. Let's look at the bright side. Emma let you back here so you could have a few terminals to spar with.

Really Allen, WTF? A 04 Ford Ranger? Where did you work before OSA? McDonald's making fries?

Dude. You are starting to sound a bit manic.

Don't work yourself into a tizzy! No aliens in DC3's are coming after you. That's in the past!

Dave95694, Yesterday at 11:08 PM

#567

🕶️ Like x 1 🤔 LOL x 1 [List](#)



**Veda**

Sponsor

Caroline said: ↑

Implausibility can be an effective cloaking device. What's under the cloak is the co-conspirators history of infiltrating government agencies, covering up crimes, obstructing justice in wrongful death case(s), witness tampering, running intell around the world, taking over CAN, LMT, etc.

The Scientologists' history of risky business proves that risk doesn't back them off from executing such schemes. "Flair" and "insouciance" are their intelligence personnel's "beingnesses."

Think of the risk that the Child Victims Act poses, and how the Scientologists would want to get ahead of that for example. Would they be [Click to expand...](#)

Don't think anyone in question identifies as "Independents."

That was years ago.

It's understandable that Gerry would have issues with Rinder.

IMO, Alanzo is using both you and Gerry, which I think is a shame, as both of you are heroes and much respected and loved.

Veda, Yesterday at 11:11 PM

#568

💰 Winner x 4 [List](#)



UTR  
Patron

Out Ethics posted the "L&N" list three times in a row, and then the bankruptcy info 5 times in a row. In this thread.

I mean, I might be new here, but I'm pretty sure this is a ridiculous amount of spam.

And I'm not counting the duplicate posts I've seen across multiple threads.

UTR, Yesterday at 11:15 PM

#569

😎 Like x 2 [List](#)



Dave95694  
Silly Human

UTR said: ↑

Out Ethics posted the "L&N" list three times in a row, and then the bankruptcy info 5 times in a row. In this thread.

I mean, I might be new here, but I'm pretty sure this is a ridiculous amount of spam.

And I'm not counting the duplicate posts I've seen across multiple threads.

Par for the course when a OSA disrupter appears on the board.

Dave95694, Yesterday at 11:18 PM

#570



Out Ethics  
Out Ethics Ex Ethics  
Officer

Dave95694 said: ↑

Dude. You are starting to sound a bit manic.

Don't work yourself into a tizzy! No aliens in DC3's are coming after you. That's in the past!

Understood Dude! I can see how you would think that.

I am a bit excited right now because I have a short window of time to post here before I leave for Switzerland on a 4 week vacation AND the board is shutting down soon. Time is of the essence. So I post when I have the time.

Now, did you know that Allen listed under "household goods and furniture" \$450 on his BK paperwork.

Dave, I think that is a bit misleading and I would like it if you can ask Allen how stating the Basic Books and Lectures are only worth \$450.

I mean, he is using them for furniture. They certainly have more value than \$450. NO?

Out Ethics, Yesterday at 11:19 PM

#571

LOL x 3 List



**Out Ethics**  
Out Ethics Ex Ethics Officer

UTR said: ↑

Out Ethics posted the "L&N" list three times in a row, and then the bankruptcy info 5 times in a row. In this thread.

I mean, I might be new here, but I'm pretty sure this is a ridiculous amount of spam.

And I'm not counting the duplicate posts I've seen across multiple threads.

I am sorry UTR. I got a little excited and carried away. I will watch it from now on.

It was a Scientology implant in restimulation.

Number of times over equals certainty LRH.

Out Ethics, Yesterday at 11:20 PM

#572

LOL x 3 List



**Out Ethics**  
Out Ethics Ex Ethics Officer

Dave95694 said: ↑

Par for the course when a OSA disrupter appears on the board.

Dave - are you related to Allen, the Scientologist?

Out Ethics, 57 minutes ago

#573

LOL x 1 WTF? x 1 List



**Type4\_PTS**  
Diamond Invictus SP

Dave95694 said: ↑

Par for the course when a OSA disrupter appears on the board.


While there's some truth to what you write here, I'm kind of surprised that you wouldn't have more loyalty towards your friend.

Why would you characterize Alanzo in that way?

Type4\_PTS, 56 minutes ago

#574

Winner x 2 LOL x 2 Like x 1 List



Red Valiant  
Patron with Honors

Out Ethics said: ↑

I am sorry UTR. I got a little excited and carried away. I will watch it from now on.

It was a Scientology implant in restimulation.

Number of times over equals certainty LRH.

You Kevin or not? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No (check off one) <https://www.facebook.com/kevform>

This Sunday I'll be driving through St. Louis on my way to Colorado. We could meet for coffee. Good idea? Bad?

Red Valiant, 51 minutes ago

#575



Dave95694  
Silly Human

Type4\_PTS said: ↑

While there's some truth to what you write here, I'm kind of surprised that you wouldn't have more loyalty towards your friend.

Why would you characterize Alanzo in that way? 🤔

Yes I did leave that a bit ambiguous!

Seriously though, I do resonate with what Alanzo is trying to point out. There are stages of leaving scientology and in the later stages we no longer really care about it or the drama and move on, not get stuck in a feud with a dying organization or other imagined enemies.

I think Mike Rinder and Karen De La Carrie have done a lot to help people move on. I think Alanzo is pointing out other stuff we need to move on from, a mindset we took on in the church that required fighting for rightness, and hiding any "entheta".

Last edited: 33 minutes ago

Dave95694, 46 minutes ago

#576

Like x 1 List



Out Ethics  
Out Ethics Ex Ethics

Red Valiant said: ↑

You Kevin or not? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No (check off one) <https://www.facebook.com/kevform>

This Sunday I'll be driving through St. Louis on my way to Colorado. We could meet for coffee. Good idea? Bad?

Oh OHHH - Allen Stanfield called in the OSA forces. It's Tom Padgett.



Officer

Yes, Tom Padgett, come on over. We can talk about what it was like living in prison for 5 years for not paying child support.

Wow - how do you do that to your own kids? That is cold Tom. Did you get those overts off when you did your OSA Sec Check?



Out Ethics, 37 minutes ago

#577



Out Ethics

Out Ethics Ex Ethics  
Officer

Red Valiant said: ↑

You Kevin or not? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No (check off one) <https://www.facebook.com/kevfor>

This Sunday I'll be driving through St. Louis on my way to Colorado. We could meet for coffee. Good idea? Bad?

Heh Tom Padgett - do you know how to fix a overflowing toilet or leaky roof? Did you learn any skills in prison besides what your room mate did with the k-y jelly? (please do not share that - tmi)

Allen Stanfield listed "old mechanicals and leaky roof" on his bankruptcy paperwork.

Before you move in and get yourself comfortable, we wanted to do a little pre-qualifying for Allen. What are your skills?

Also, Marty Rathbun got a nice new suit from David Miscavige yet poor Allen has no clothes. He listed \$100 on the BK for clothing.

Maybe you can lend him some of your K-Mart attire. You have those black plastic shoes that look fairly new so if he puts tissue in them, he could use them for walking the streets of Bloomington while he hands out the Way to Happiness booklets. Perhaps you have a sport coat? Your clothing would be better than the rags he wears. He will have

to present himself nicely when handing out the Way to Happiness booklets to make up the damage for being busted here.

OSA would want him to look nice.

Out Ethics, 25 minutes ago

#578



Type4\_PTS  
Diamond Invictus SP

Dave95694 said: ↑

Yes I did leave that a bit ambiguous!

Seriously though, I do resonate with what Alanzo is trying to point out. There are stages of leaving scientology and in the later stages we no longer really care about it or the drama and move on, not get stuck in a feud with a dying organization or other imagined enemies.

[Click to expand...](#)

Absolutely there are stages of leaving.

And many of here on ESMB have been out for MANY years. 30 for me! And some are recently out. We are not all in the same stage yet Alanzo addresses us like we are the Borg, with a hive mind.

Personally I no long identify as an "Ex-Scientologist" nor do I care much about Scientology. I'm only here because I like many of the people here, and until recently I focused my time and attention on the off-topic threads. Also though I'm here because once in a blue moon I've been able to help some people newly out. Once CoS stops abusing people, stops their fraudulent marketing, I won't give a fat rat's clacker about the CoS. But until then, I'll help inoculate people if I can, so they don't fall into the same trap some of us here on the board did.

Type4\_PTS, 13 minutes ago

#579

Love x 1 [List](#)



Out Ethics  
Out Ethics Ex Ethics  
Officer

Tom Padgett - this just in from OSA Headquarters:

For years Tom Padgett has claimed that Scientology was somehow involved in his court case.

In fact, his divorce and child support cases were begun and vastly protracted solely by his own behavior.

For years (until the week of Aug 11, 2003) he solicited money from Scientology critics on his website -- money which could better go to true victims of Scientology harassment.

Beginning in 1992 and continuing to this day, Tom Padgett has been involved in a protracted and bitter divorce, child custody, child support and related case.

His explanation for this bizarre series of events is that Scientology was involved, because Scientology is known for harassing litigation against many people. It's true that Scientology litigates in a very nasty manner, but it's false that they had anything to do with Tom Padgett's divorce and child support cases.

The fact is that Tom Padgett's behavior was responsible for initiating the case, protracting it -- and eventually landing him in jail.

Padgett hadn't been living with his wife and kids for a year before the divorce was filed -- he was in Michigan while his family was in Kentucky.

While visiting from Kentucky, Padgett's wife Laura found notes between Tom Padgett and his lover in Michigan, proving he was having an affair.

Weeks after his wife filed for divorce in July 1992 in Madisonville, Kentucky, Tom Padgett filed for divorce in Lexington. This is merely the first of many actions by him that needlessly complicated and extended the case.

Out Ethics, A moment ago

#580